

Market Valuation From An Asset Allocation Perspective

February 2017




Aneet Deshpande,
CFA, Managing
Director, Multi-Asset
Strategies

The question of whether the broader domestic market is overvalued or undervalued at any particular moment in time requires an incredible amount of context. To learn more about the factors at play, we sat down with Aneet Deshpande, CFA, managing director, Multi-Asset Strategies, to discuss valuations in light of the current market, as well as the firm's overarching principles.



There seems to be increasing talk of valuation concerns in domestic markets. From an asset allocation perspective, what is your view?

When thinking about valuation, it's important to distinguish between short-term and long-term return expectations. So there are a couple different ways to address that question. If you're trying to conjoin the concepts of valuations and return expectations together into a formula, for instance valuations are A therefore returns should be Z, you can't do that with any real efficacy in the short term. Annual returns are chaotic and the range of returns is extraordinary.



We believe that valuation measures (e.g. the price-to-book ratio or price-to-earnings ratio) are better barometers of longer-term (i.e. greater than 10 years) expectations and are highly path dependent. Given this, we tend to focus the process of asset allocation around longer-term expectations where asset class returns are more likely to produce an outcome that is within an

acceptable range of expectations. "Range of expectations" being the operative words. Singular forecasts are not rational, at least to us. Rather think of it this way: Valuations are A, therefore returns over a subsequent period of 10-plus years should be between Y and Z, given a certain level of confidence.



With that disclaimer in mind, where are we today? We believe valuations aren't cheap. That's a comfortable statement to make. But what does it mean? We think the appropriate context is: Markets are not cheap, therefore we have a higher probability of earning a long-term return that is less than the historic average. There is a tendency for investors to link the concepts of "cheap" or "expensive" to explicit short-term market outcomes. Behaviorally – and probably incorrectly – it helps validate the need to trade.

If the market is in fact overvalued, does that mean a market correction is ahead?

First, we believe the answer is always yes. Irrespective of valuations, corrections are part of investing in risk assets such as equities. Second, high valuations by themselves don't cause stock prices to fall. The market needs a catalyst. Valuations may serve as a margin of safety or play a role in the depth of a decline, but simply having "high" valuations doesn't make the market go down. Nor does a "low" valuation necessarily mean the market will go higher. Typically, you need some kind of a cyclical catalyst (like a recession or a recovery) or an idiosyncratic event (such as the Taper Tantrum in 2013 or a geopolitical event). But let's take it one step further and separate declines into two buckets: corrections and bear markets.

For example, since 2010 the S&P 500 has increased +100% and +133% in price

and total return terms, respectively, and that climb was accompanied by a number of corrections.


So, corrections happen with more regularity than one may recall or care to acknowledge.

The latter category of bear markets, where the market declines by more than 20%, are more times than not associated with prolonged monetary tightening, the end of a credit cycle, and recessions. However, there have been two non-recessionary bear markets: the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962 and the Black Monday flash crash in 1987.

So with that context in mind, is a correction ahead? History tells us yes. When? We don't know. They are unavoidable, so having a disciplined process with a long-term approach to asset allocation can help guide us through those moments.

Relating back to today, we believe earnings need to grow into valuations given what we've seen in markets, particularly in the post-election period. Moreover, depending on potential regulatory and tax reforms and/or a fiscal push over the years ahead, the U.S. economy may be able to grow at a faster rate than we have recently experienced. Such a growth rate may aid profitability. We think these are rational views for today.

There are relative bright spots. International markets have favorable valuations relative to the U.S. on a long-term basis. We believe multiple years of underperformance post-financial crisis have helped create the opportunity. The key here, however, is one of path. In the near term, foreign exchange and other macro variables may influence returns on a short-term basis. But, we believe there is a higher return potential in emerging and developed international markets relative to domestic markets given a long-term horizon.



So with this market and valuation backdrop, how do you approach asset allocation?

Well, we adhere to a systematic process centered on the objective of the product or client whose assets we are managing. As a firm we strive to create and promote disciplined processes within our investment teams. That virtue is carried over to asset allocation as well. We think that the objectives of a product or client are best solved for through carefully thought out and well-parameterized processes. We believe having such a systematic mindset helps us limit, if not eliminate, noise or behavioral biases.

We think it's also important to point out that how information like valuations is incorporated, if at all, into a process can be highly dependent on the objective of the client. In any case, our capital market expectations and portfolio construction follow iterative and disciplined processes so that we are always thinking about things as they may relate to the portfolio's objective, whether that be valuations or otherwise.

Market Valuation From An Asset Allocation Perspective

This publication is for informational purposes only and reflects the current opinions of PNC Capital Advisors, LLC. Information contained herein is believed to be accurate, but cannot be guaranteed. Opinions represented are not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security and are subject to change without notice. Statements in this material should not be considered investment advice, a forecast or guarantee of future results. To the extent specific securities are referenced herein, they have been selected by the author on an objective basis to illustrate the views expressed in the commentary. Such references do not include all material information about such securities, including risks, and are not intended to be recommendations to take any action with respect to such securities. Indices are unmanaged, do not reflect the deduction of any fees normally associated with an investment management account, including investment advisory fees. Indices are not available for direct investment. This publication has been prepared without taking into account your objectives, financial situation or needs. Before acting on this information, you should consider its appropriateness having regard to your objectives, financial situation or needs. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This publication is the property of PNC Capital Advisors and is intended for the sole use of its clients, consultants, and other intended recipients. It should not be forwarded to any other person. Contents herein should be treated as proprietary information. This material may not be reproduced or used in any form or medium without express written permission.**

PNC Capital Advisors, LLC is an SEC-registered investment adviser, offering an array of investment strategies. PNC Capital Advisors, LLC is a subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

INVESTMENTS: NOT FDIC INSURED - NO BANK OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GUARANTEE - MAY LOSE VALUE