

Municipal Fixed Income

Quarterly Market Commentary

Second Quarter 2017

Key Themes

- The S&P Municipal Bond Index returned 1.82% for the second quarter of 2017, strongly outperforming the broad U.S. Treasury market index.
- Declining interest rates across most spots on the yield curve drove positive price performance over the quarter.
- The yield curve flattened in the quarter as 30-year rates declined, while 2-year rates increased modestly.
- Municipal credit market spreads remained flat in all credit categories, shrugging off certain high-profile, negative credit events.

Municipal Market Review

The S&P Municipal Bond Index continued its positive momentum in the second quarter by posting a gain of 1.82%, following a first-quarter total return of 1.41% (Table 1). The index's two consecutive quarters of positive returns have approximately offset the steep losses experienced in the final quarter of 2016. The low-volatility environment that sustained itself for most of 2016, until the election, has reemerged in familiar form. Interest rates declined across most of the curve, from 3 to 30 years, to push the index pricing level higher. Inside of one year, additional action by the Federal Reserve in June raised the benchmark rate target once during the quarter by 25 basis points (bps). The low-volatility theme extended to municipal credit as spreads remained flat, despite significant credit events among several widely held issuers.

Declining rates, combined with a Fed rate increase, resulted in a flattening municipal yield curve through the second quarter. The 2-year rate increased by 4 bps, while 10- and 30-year rates each declined by 26 bps. The largest movement occurred in the 7- and 8-year rates which both declined 30 bps (Table 2). Absolute returns favored longer-duration portfolios, which benefitted from both relative yield and price return. Accordingly, the slope of the curve flattened from the 2-year rate to the 30-year rate from 203 bps to 173 bps, or a 30 bps difference (Table 3). The municipal sector outperformed risk-free rates by quarter-end as evidenced by the municipal to U.S. Treasury ratio declining by seven ratios and ending the quarter at 87% (Table 4).

The Federal Open Market Committee met twice during the quarter and voted once to raise its policy rate target by 0.25%, resulting in a target range of 1.00% to 1.25%. The Fed also introduced the possibility of reducing the amount of securities held on its balance sheet, which would act to further remove monetary accommodation. The Fed's action impacted other short-term rate markets as 3-month LIBOR rose to 1.30% by the end of June. Accordingly, SIFMA ended the quarter at 0.91%, or approximately 70% of LIBOR. Federal funds futures imply even odds of one additional rate increase in 2017, as the Fed asserts a balanced approach to its policymaking.

The Federal Open Market Committee met twice during the quarter and voted once to raise its policy rate target by 0.25%, resulting in a target range of 1.00% to 1.25%. The Fed also introduced the possibility of reducing the amount of securities held on its balance sheet, which would act to further remove monetary accommodation. The Fed's action impacted other short-term rate markets as 3-month LIBOR rose to 1.30% by the end of June. Accordingly, SIFMA ended the quarter at 0.91%, or approximately 70% of LIBOR. Federal funds futures imply even odds of one additional rate increase in 2017, as the Fed asserts a balanced approach to its policymaking.

TABLE 1 Index	S&P Municipal Bond Index Returns 2Q17			YTD Total Return 2017
	Total Return	Price Return	Interest Return	
Main	1.82%	1.22%	0.60%	3.26%
Intermediate	1.88%	1.33%	0.55%	3.52%
Short-Intermediate	1.01%	0.62%	0.39%	2.38%
Short	0.41%	0.11%	0.30%	1.29%

Source: Standard and Poor's

TABLE 2 Date	AAA Municipal Rates					
	SIFMA	2 Yr	5 Yr	10 Yr	15 Yr	30 Yr
12/31/16	0.72%	1.21%	1.79%	2.31%	2.63%	3.04%
3/31/17	0.91%	1.02%	1.55%	2.25%	2.69%	3.05%
6/30/17	0.91%	1.06%	1.35%	1.99%	2.44%	2.79%
Quarterly change	0 bps	4 bps	-20 bps	-26 bps	-25 bps	-26 bps
Annual change	19bps	-15 bps	-44 bps	-32 bps	-19 bps	-25 bps

Source: Thomson Reuters, Bloomberg

TABLE 3	Municipal Yield Curve Slope		
	12/31/16	3/31/17	6/30/17
2-year rate	1.21%	1.02%	1.06%
30-year rate	3.04%	3.05%	2.79%
Slope	1.83%	2.03%	1.73%

Source: Thomson Reuters

Municipal Fixed Income

Certain meaningful Federal policy reforms remain in the offing. Congress continued to struggle in its efforts to repeal and replace the Affordable Care Act (ACA). The Congressional Budget Office produced scoring for both the U.S. House and Senate health-care reform bills, predicting that, compared to the ACA, more than 20 million additional Americans would be uninsured by 2026. After failing in its first attempt in March, the U.S. House of Representatives narrowly passed the American Health Care Act (AHCA) in May. The Senate faced an uphill battle as it attempted to pass its own reform bill in June and decided to postpone the vote due to opposition from enough Senate members to block passage.

Total municipal bond issuance in the second quarter was \$103.8 billion, a decrease of 17.4% from the \$125.6 billion issued in the same period in 2016. Just under half of the quarter's issuance, 48%, was for transactions to finance new projects, accounting for a slightly larger percentage of supply compared to the second quarter of 2016. Only 28% of issuance was for refunding purposes during the most recent quarter, reflective of limited refinancing opportunities. The largest transactions for the period were \$2.1 billion from the California Health Facilities Financial Authority in April, \$2.1 billion from the Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corporation in May, and \$1.75 billion from the Dormitory Authority of the State of New York in June.

Municipal Credit Review

Credit pricing showed little movement in the second quarter, following a slightly positive performance in the first quarter. Notable headline credit events, including Illinois and Connecticut downgrades, have thus far been unsuccessful at derailing collective risk appetites. For A-rated and BBB-rated bonds, 10-year credit risk premiums remained flat at 55 and 90 bps, respectively, above the corresponding 10-year AAA rate (Table 5). On the surface, credit pricing has shown little volatility. However, it is of note that a dichotomy is developing where the aforementioned investment grade obligors, and others, are experiencing high levels of stress, while the market remains very receptive to riskier and higher-yielding new transactions within the below-investment-grade sector.

Notable Credit Events in the Quarter

On June 9, S&P downgraded Massachusetts' General Obligation rating one notch to AA from AA+. Citing concerns about the commonwealth's dwindling reserves, the rating agency nevertheless assigned a stable outlook, which could prove challenging to maintain in the face of volatile revenues and rising expenditure pressures, including Medicaid costs, should the ACA be successfully repealed by Congress.

On June 2, Illinois received simultaneous downgrades from Moody's and S&P to Baa3 and BBB-, respectively. S&P has threatened to downgrade the state additionally should it not commence the new fiscal year (2018) with a budget in place. S&P's threat implies a move to below investment grade, an unprecedented move for a state general obligation rating.

Connecticut's ongoing fiscal woes translated into a new round of rating downgrades in May – this time by all three rating agencies. For both Moody's and S&P, Connecticut's respective A1 and A+ ratings are only surpassed to the downside by Illinois and New Jersey. The acute fiscal stress is driven by underperforming revenues, dwindling reserves, a weak economy, and rising expenditure pressures.

In April, Moody's upgraded Ochsner Clinic's rating one notch to A3 from BBB+. The system's graduation to the A category is a reflection of Ochsner's stronger operating performance and successful implementation of its multi-year strategy to improve patient experience, revenue cycle efficiency, and brand recognition.

The downgrades of Illinois in June had secondary impacts, engulfing the following issuers and programs in the revisions: Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Build Illinois sales tax-backed bonds, and Chicago motor fuel tax-backed bonds.

TABLE 4 Municipal to Treasury Yield Ratios
10-year Ratios

12/31/16	0.95
3/31/17	0.94
6/30/17	0.87

Source: Thomson Reuters

TABLE 5 10 Year Municipal Credit Spreads

Date	AA	A	BBB
12/31/16	20 bps	57 bps	92 bps
3/31/17	20 bps	55 bps	90 bps
6/30/17	21 bps	56 bps	91 bps
Quarterly change	1 bps	1 bps	1 bps
Annual change	1 bps	-1 bps	-1 bps

Source: Thomson Reuters

Municipal Fixed Income

The downgrades to these names underscore our [prior warnings](#) about the dangers of placing undue confidence in dedicated-tax bond structures, even those rated AAA, as our published analysis demonstrates (see Illinois Sales Tax bonds, downgraded by S&P from AAA to AA-, concurrent with the GO action).

Looking Ahead

With the continuation of relative stability in the second quarter, the market will look forward to several events in the subsequent three months that could conspire to alter the trajectory. Healthcare reform was resuscitated when the U.S. House revived the AHCA in May and the Senate plans to decide on its bill in July. Tax reform remains on the administration's agenda and is anticipated to follow healthcare though timing is largely in question. Several states have yet to finalize their respective spending plans for the new fiscal year beginning July 1. Accordingly, Illinois is facing the specter of becoming the first ever below-investment-grade state. The Fed has two meetings scheduled for the 3rd quarter but neither is currently anticipated to eventuate in a rate hike.

If you have questions regarding this commentary, please contact Jamie Horn, Portfolio Specialist, at Jamie.Horn@pnc.com or 215-585-5552.

INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT TEAM

Adam Mackey
Managing Director,
Municipal Fixed Income

Cesar Avila
Analyst

Olivia Batdorf
Client Service Specialist

William Bonawitz, CFA
Director of Municipal Research

William Davis
Analyst

Tricia Filter
Trader

Marques Glaze
Portfolio Manager

Jamie Horn
Client Portfolio Specialist

Robert Howells
Portfolio Manager

Lisa Kreiling, Ph.D., CFA
Senior Analyst

Daniel Salahub, CFA
Trader

German Sanchez, CFA
Research Associate

Phillip Sapovits
Director of Municipal
Quantitative Research

Kenneth Weinstein
Senior Analyst

This publication is for informational purposes only and reflects the current opinions of PNC Capital Advisors, LLC. Information contained herein is believed to be accurate, but has not been verified and cannot be guaranteed. Opinions represented are not intended as an offer or solicitation with respect to the purchase or sale of any security and are subject to change without notice. Statements in this material should not be considered investment advice, a forecast or guarantee of future results. To the extent specific securities are referenced herein, they have been selected by the author on an objective basis to illustrate the views expressed in the commentary. Such references do not include all material information about such securities, including risks, and are not intended to be recommendations to take any action with respect to such securities. The securities identified do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold or recommended and it should not be assumed that any listed securities were or will prove to be profitable. Indices are unmanaged and not available for direct investment. Index performance does not reflect expenses associated with the active management of an actual portfolio. This publication has been prepared without taking into account your objectives, financial situation or needs. Before acting on this information, you should consider its appropriateness having regard to your objectives, financial situation or needs. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Please read our Form ADV, Part 2 for more information on the strategies offered by PNC Capital Advisors and the investment risks associated with each strategy. PNC Capital Advisors claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®). For a complete list of composite descriptions and/or a GIPS compliant presentation for any of our composites, please send an email pca@pnc.com, attention: PNC Capital Advisors Compliance.**

This publication is the property of PNC Capital Advisors and is intended for the sole use of its clients, consultants, and other intended recipients. It should not be forwarded to any other person. Contents herein should be treated as proprietary information and may not be reproduced or used in any form or medium without express written permission.

PNC Capital Advisors, LLC is an SEC-registered investment adviser, offering an array of investment strategies. PNC Capital Advisors, LLC is an indirect subsidiary of The PNC Financial Services Group, Inc.

INVESTMENTS: NOT FDIC INSURED - NO BANK OR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GUARANTEE - MAY LOSE VALUE